JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)	10		VER SHEET	10-R-	1242
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court, purpose of initiating the civil do	This form approved by the	e maiciai i onicience di un	Connect States in September 1	of pleadings of other papers a 074, is required for the use of t	s required by law, except as he Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
LINDA SEAWRIGHT			CONTRACT CALLE	RS, INC.	
	Plant Line d Districte Di	HILADELPHIA		of First Listed Defendant	MONTGOMERY
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIPF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(EXCEPTING STRING)			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name A	ddress, and Telephone Number		Attorneys (If Known)	11.70	
REGINALD ALLEM, VESCO 7601 CRITTENDEN STRE PHILADELPHIA, PA 1911	EET, UNIT F12			1902	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	TION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government N		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	70 - 1783 - 188 - 188 188 - 18	and One Box for Perendant) PTF DEF ncipal Place A 4 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	another State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	13 690 Other		430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	19,304	☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		□ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Act 720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	Leave Act		Act
(Western Assessment States and Assessment St	Medical Malpractice	- 1	790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS 1 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		or Defendant)	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	■ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	State Statutes
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION 7 4(2) Networking tion Application		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	 □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration 		
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights	Actions		
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -			
		Conditions of			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i		Confinement Remanded from	Reinstated or 5 Transf		
	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reopened Another (specify)		1
	TITLE VII AND TI	HE PHRA	ining (Do not cite jurisuictional sid	tates attended to the state of	07
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of ca				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 151,000.00	JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	MAR 21 2016
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		07
03/16/2016		1 agine	Me allen		7.1-
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR				
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	IDGE

UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to b	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
assignment to appropriate calendar.	21
Address of Plaintiff: 59/B Reach Street	Phila, PA 19120
Address of Defendant: 2829 Philmont Avenue	e, Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 2829 Philmont Avenue (Use Reverse Side For A	re Hunting dan Valley, PA 19006
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes No.
Let Mariet Disease a consideration?	Yes No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	ear previously terminated action in this court? Yes No.
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	and postering or commercial participation of the commercial pa
	Yes□ No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes No
a solid constituented of the security annual of the security figh	ots case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	Yes No.
	7.
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
	4. Marine Personal Injury
4. □ Antitrust	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
5. Patent	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
6. Labor-Management Relations	7. Products Liability
7. □ Civil Rights	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
8. Habeas Corpus	9. All other Diversity Cases
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	(Please specify)
10 Social Security Review Cases	(Flease specify)
All other Federal Question Cases Please specify) Employment Dischmination	
ARBITRATION CER'	
Check Appropriate Counsel of record do hereby cert	Category)
Pursuant of Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 3/17/2016 Regenely all	
Attorne at-Law NOTE: A trial de nove will be a trial by jury only if the	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending o	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	77609
DATE: 3/17/20/6 Regirely alles	11085
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
CD (00 (E)0012)	



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Linda Seguright
Contract Callers, Inc.

plaintiff shall complete a Case M filing the complaint and serve a consider of this form.) In the event designation, that defendant shall,	anagement Track Designation For ppy on all defendants. (See § 1:03) that a defendant does not agree with its first appearance, submit a Case Management Track Designation of the contract of t	on Plan of this court, counsel for firm in all civil cases at the time of of the plan set forth on the reverse with the plaintiff regarding said to the clerk of court and serve on gnation Form specifying the track				
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLL	OWING CASE MANAGEMEN	T TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases broug	ght under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 throu	gh § 2255. ()				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)						
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.						
3/16/2016	Regingled Allen Attorney-at-law	Lipda Seawright Attorney for				
(215) 242-3875	(247) 323-2037	Reginald. Allen 08 a concast. Net				
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

CIVIL ACTION



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LINDA SEAWRIGHT

: CIVIL ACTION NO.

5913 REACH STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19120

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF,

٧.

CONTRACT CALLERS, INC.

2829 PHILMONT AVENUE

HUNTINGDON VALLEY, PA 19006 DEFENDANT.

1298

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION

1. This matter is brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended (Title VII), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (PHRA). Jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. S 1331 and Title VII. Jurisdiction is also based on diversity of citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C. S 1332, and damages recoverable exceed \$75, 000.00 (seventy thousand dollars and zero cents), exclusive of interest and costs. Supplemental jurisdiction for the state law claim is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. S 1367 (a) to hear and adjudicate state law claims.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Linda Sea wright (from hereinafter, plaintiff) is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and she currently resides at the address in the caption above.
- 3. Defendant Contract Callers, Inc. (from hereinafter "defendant") is a corporation in the state of Missouri, which also has a business at the address in the caption above, and it does substantial business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the address in the caption above.

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY REQUIREMENTS

4. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the United States Equal Opportunity Commission (US EEOC), which was cross filed the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission at EEOC Charge No. 530-2014-00660 and she received a right to sue letter dated December 22, 2015, which she received on/around December 27, 2015.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

 Plaintiff began working for defendant in/around March 2009 as an hour notice dropper, essentially she would deliver shut off notices for utility companies, which defendant contracted with, for this service, for example, "PECO".

- Plaintiff performed her duties in no less than a satisfactory manner during her employment with defendant, and she was qualified for her duties at all times relevant to the averments of this complaint.
- 7. Throughout plaintiff's employment with defendant, she observed that male employees were treated more favorably than female employees, including herself, by defendant management/supervisor(s), including Tamieka Shank.
- 8. On one occasion, Ms. Shank issued discipline to plaintiff for allegedly being two minutes late in reporting for work, while Shank excused a male counterpart for the same level of alleged lateness.
- Once, Shank issued work assignments to plaintiff's male counterparts in violation of defendant's policy for issuing such assignments based on seniority – plaintiff had such seniority, and should have been given the work.
- 10.Shank offered part time assignments to a male employee after he had submitted his resignation, which was inconsistent with defendant's policy, again depriving plaintiff of work.
- Shank generally treated male employees with more civility than she did plaintiff and other females.

- 12. Shank would appear to go out of her way to find ways to fire plaintiff.
- 13. On/around August 19, 2013, plaintiff was fired by defendant after a non-customer allegedly complained against her defendant knew that plaintiff had not violated any of its policies or work rules, but it chose to fire plaintiff for arbitrary reasons, which were based on sex discrimination.
- 14. Plaintiff filed a grievance with her union about her termination from employment, and she was reinstated to work, however she was given a "last chance agreement".
- 15. Defendant knew that plaintiff had not done anything wrong to warrant being on a last chance agreement.
- 16. On/around October 8, 2013, defendant fired plaintiff again for allegedly violating her last chance agreement defendant knew that plaintiff had not committed any offense for which she should have been constituted to violate the last chance agreement, and she had not committed any offense warranting termination from employment.
- 17. The offenses for which plaintiff was fired on both occasions paled in comparison with offenses committed by male employees of defendant, including employees Henry Brew and Jamal Young, who were not fired

(both of these employees shared the same supervisor as plaintiff).

- 18. Upon information and belief, there are other male employees of defendant, who committed more egregious acts than plaintiff is alleged to have committed, who were not fired, which defendant knows.
- 19. Upon information and belief, plaintiff was employed by a male employee
- 20. As a result of defendant's conduct against plaintiff, she suffered severe economic harm.
- 21. As a result of defendant's conduct against plaintiff, she suffered severe emotional distress, including that of losing her job shortly after she gave birth, and she lost her means to support her child.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - SEX DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-21 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Plaintiff is female.
- 24. At all times relevant to this action, plaintiff was qualified for her duties and performed them in no less than a satisfactory manner.
- 25. Defendant fired plaintiff for alleged offenses that she did not commit,

based on her sex, female, and it treated similarly situated male employees who committed more egregious acts than plaintiff, better than plaintiff, and did not fire those male employees.

- 26. Upon information and belief, plaintiff was replaced by a male employee.
- Plaintiff suffered severe economic harm as a result of defendant's conduct.
- 28. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress from defendant's conduct.

 WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests judgment in her favor against defendant and she requests the following relief:
 - a. Back pay;
 - b. Front pay;
 - c. Compensatory damages;
 - d. Punitive damages;
 - e. Reasonable Attorney's fees and costs;
 - f. Any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF THE PHRA

29. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-28 above as though fully set forth herein.

- 30. Defendant's actions described above constitute sex discrimination in violation of the PHRA.
- 31. As a result of defendant's aforementioned acts, plaintiff suffered economic harm and severe emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests judgment in her favor against defendant and requests the following relief:

- a. Back pay;
- b. Front pay;
- c. Compensatory damages;
- d. Any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Reginala Allen, Esquire